CF0000110158




CANCELLED

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH, JABALPUR

M.P. NO. 459 /1990.

PETITIONERS : 1. Nandlal Kathote, aged about 54 years, S/o Shri Sudhra Das, Moharrir (Dafedar), Property Tax Deptt., Municipal Corporation, Raipur, M.P.

2. Ishwara Prasad Sharma, aged about 42 years S/o Shri Sharda Prasad Dubey, R/o Tikarapara, near Shaheed Bhagat Singh Chowk, Raipur, M.P.

3. Nand Kumar Sharma, aged about 40 years, S/o Shri Chandi Prasad Sharma, R/o Opp. Saraswati High School, Lily Chowk, Raipur, M.P.

4. Pancham Lal Yadav, aged about 47 years, S/o Shri Itwari Yadav, R/o Mahamai Para, Raipur, M.P.

5. Ratanuram Yadav, aged about 49 years S/o Shri Bhangiram Yadav, R/o Azad Chowk, near Hanuman Mandir, Brahaman Para, Raipur, M.P., and

6. Balmukund Shukla, aged about 41 years, S/o Shri Ram Kumar Shukla, R/o near Satbahaniya Mandir, Purani Basti, Bandhwa Para, Raipur, M.P.

1332 18-1-90 Manoj Shrivastava 18/1/90




VERSUS

RESPONDENTS 1. The Municipal Corporation, Raipur.

2. Ganga Prasad Gupta, Revenue Sub-Inspector.

3. Bhagwan Das Panka, Revenue Sub-insp.

4. Pawan Kumar Tiwari, Revenue Sub-Inspector.

5. Santosh Shukla, Revenue Sub-Inspector

6. Prem Singh Bharadwaj, Revenue Sub-Inspector, and

7. Mohammad Faiaz, Revenue Sub-Inspector.

All employees of Municipal Corporation, Raipur in Revenue Department, Netaji Subhash Stadium premises, Raipur, M.P.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

In the matter of :-

Supersession in employment under Madhya Pradesh Municipal Service (Scale of pay and allowance)Rules, 1967 and Madhya Pradesh Municipal Employees Recruitment and conditions of Service Rules, 1968.

Cont'd...2/

XI-HC-22

(35)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

# आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 459/90 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | **03-12-2005**<br>None for the petitioners.<br>None for the respondents.<br>The matter was taken up for hearing earlier on two occasions i.e. 21-06-2005 & 16-08-2005, none appeared for the petitioners.<br>On 21-06-2005, S.P.C. was directed to be issued to the petitioners as well as to the respondents' No. 2 to 7 returnable on 26-07-2005.<br>Today, the matter was again called for hearing twice, neither any counsel appears for the parties nor any representation was made even in the second round.<br>Accordingly, the petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.<br>**Sd/-**<br>**Satish K. Agnihotri**<br>**Judge** | |

Tumane

[पीछे देखिये